Bridging Divides: Science Education and Global Citizenship Across Northern and Southern Perspectives

Dr Amina Harbali
Arab Open University - Lebanon
aharbali@aou.edu.lb

Abstract

In the 21st century, Global Citizenship Education stands as a vital educational objective aimed at equipping learners with the knowledge, skills, and attitudes required for productive participation in a progressively interdependent world. Science education, traditionally centered on scientific knowledge and methods, is uniquely positioned to contribute to this mission because it provides the tools to interpret evidence, evaluate claims, and propose solutions to global issues.

This paper compares perspectives from the Global North and the Global South with the aim of exploring the role of science education in advancing global citizenship. The Northern and Southern perspectives differ in such a way that the former often emphasizes technological advancement, sustainability, and global competencies, while the latter highlights equity, local knowledge, and the relevance of science education to community needs. By analyzing these divergences and points of convergence, this paper argues that science education can serve as a bridge for cultivating shared values of sustainability, critical thinking, and intercultural dialogue. The paper concludes with a comprehensive set of recommendations for integrating global citizenship principles into science education, fostering rational, sustainable, and culturally responsive learning environments worldwide.

1. Introduction

In recent years, educational priorities all over the world were forced into undergoing drastic revisions due to the rapid pace of globalization, scientific developments, and grave environmental and societal challenges. The function of education is no longer solely confined to classical disciplinary knowledge but is now also needed to prepare learners to cope with cultural diversity, complex ethics, and pressing international issues such as climate change, epidemics, and social inequality (UNESCO, 2021). Global Citizenship Education (GCE) is a value-driven, socially oriented, and interactive method for cultivating such skills in learners.

The discipline of science education, grounded in its foundational elements of evidence-based argumentation, problem-solving, and inquiry, is particularly well-suited to fulfill the objectives of GCE. By engaging learners with the complexities of authentic issues—such as the detailed aspects of climate change, the ethical dilemmas associated with genetic engineering, and public health initiatives aimed at combating infectious diseases—science education has the potential to cultivate critical thinking skills, ethical reasoning, and a robust appreciation for cross-cultural perspectives (Bybee, 2014). Nonetheless, the implementation of global citizenship practices within science education exhibits considerable diversity globally, marked by significant disparities in available resources, pedagogical cultures, and societal priorities. This paper examines the substantial contributions of science education to global citizenship across varying socio-economic landscapes in both the Global North and Global South, focusing on exemplary practices, inherent challenges, and the lessons that can be gleaned from policies. The intention of this contrast is not to create a simplistic dichotomy but rather to emphasize specific contexts, common goals, and multiple pathways toward fostering a more globally aware and scientifically informed citizenry. It will contend that while the Global North may leverage sophisticated infrastructure and established curricula to deliver GCE, the resource-limited Global South offers a rich environment for problem-oriented, community-focused scientific endeavors that are particularly effective in advancing genuine global citizenship.

2. Theoretical Framework

This framework integrates foundational GCE literature, studies how science education enacts these values, and compares popular strategies in the Global North and the Global South.

2.1 Theoretical Frameworks of Global Citizenship Education

Global Citizenship Education (GCE) is a multifaceted concept embodying a set of knowledge, skills, values, and attitudes needed to understand and act on world issues. Following Oxley and Morris (2013), three dimensions are central to GCE:

- **Cognitive Dimension:** This dimension involves the acquisition of knowledge about world problems like climate change, poverty, and human rights, and understanding the interrelated economic, political, and ecological systems that dictate the global scenario today. This covers the principles of systems thinking and cultivating interdependence-based empathy.
- **Affective Dimension:** This dimension includes the development of empathy, acceptance of social and cultural diversity, and recognition of our shared humanity. It also encompasses the development of moral sensitivity and compassion, an understanding of ethics, and the ability to perceive issues from various perspectives.
- **Behavioral Aspect:** This is the action-oriented element of GCE. This aspect includes participating in social and environmental changes, taking accountability, and developing collective action behaviors such as cooperation, communication, and conflict management.

Beyond these points, GCE is informed by critical theory, particularly the work of Andreotti (2014) on critical GCE. Cosmopolitan GCE often emphasizes a universal, "one-size-fits-all" conception of global citizenship based on shared values and a shared global identity. Critical GCE, particularly from Global South perspectives, challenges this universalism. It highlights the power differentials and historical unfairness that undergird global arrangements, encouraging students to think through their own roles and the weight of colonial heritage on both scientific knowledge and global issues (Andreotti, 2014). Adopting a critical frame is important to prevent GCE from becoming a form of new cultural imperialism and to ensure it genuinely energizes students from a variety of backgrounds.

2.2 Science Education as a Vehicle for Global Citizenship Education (GCE)

Science education provides practical, real-world preparation in GCE, whereby students engage with real-world socio-scientific issues (SSIs). The SSI approach by Sadler (2011) asserts that science education is about more than facts; it undertakes intricate, open-ended issues—for instance, arguments on genetically modified organisms or public policies on vaccines—that have both scientific and social implications. This aligns well with GCE since it necessitates that students:

- **Apply evidence-based reasoning:** They are required to read scientific texts and make decisions based on the strength of the sources.
- **Address ethical concerns:** They are required to balance inconsistent beliefs and principles, recognizing that scientific solutions are never culturally impartial.
- **Speak and collaborate:** They must collaborate with other students to discuss and propose alternatives.

This approach transforms the science class from a passive space for the simple transmission of facts into a dynamic environment enabling civic participation and philosophical reflection (Holbrook & Rannikmae, 2009). In addition, the Science-Technology-Society-Environment (STSE) approach, as one of the leading schemes in science education, does much to bridge this relationship. STSE-based lessons teach learners science knowledge while interpreting its application and consequences in practical situations, thus emphasizing scientific literacy and ensuring a sense of civic duty (Aikenhead, 2006).

2.3 Science Education in the Global North Context

In the Global North, GCE standards increasingly inform science education, typically within the context of sustainability education and 21st-century competencies. Programs in Finland, Canada, and Germany emphasize interdisciplinary relationships between science and social, environmental, and ethical aspects (Falkner et al., 2020). The wealth of resources in these nations, including well-equipped laboratories, information technologies, and fieldwork, supports experiential methods that are very effective at developing GCE capacities. For instance, the United States' Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS), while not explicitly labeled GCE, incorporates scientific practices and their applications to society and cross-cutting concepts, such as systems thinking, which provides a very strong foundation for GCE-pedagogy.

2.4 The Background of Science Education in the Global South

Science education in the Global South is distinguished by its untapped potential that has been hindered due to a number of challenges, such as lacking material resources, including inadequate laboratory equipment, and having limited access to online materials along with oversized classes. Furthermore, dominant pedagogical conventions often stress instructor-centered methods and rote memorization, with curricula where test-taking ability is prioritized over the development of conceptual understanding and analytical skills.

Despite these challenges, innovative methodologies in Kenya, India, and Brazil demonstrate how context-aware, place-centered science work can be a powerful means of cultivating world citizenship (Wals, 2014). This approach is known as the ‘pedagogy of place,' and it focuses on local issues, ranging from water scarcity and epidemics to sustainable agriculture. By tackling these challenges within their immediate locality, students develop practical problem-solving skills along with a deep understanding of the interconnections between global patterns and local issues. Hence, this pedagogy becomes more empowering and culturally responsive compared to a top-down, Western-centric curriculum. Furthermore, it allows a profound potential for decolonizing science education by recognizing indigenous and local knowledge systems as legitimate forms of scientific inquiry (Le Grange, 2016).

2.5 Pedagogical Approaches in GCE

Implementing GCE in science teaching requires a shift from transmission-based pedagogies to active, student-focused ones, such as:

- **Inquiry-based learning:** This pedagogy inspires learners to formulate their own inquiries into global issues and devise scientific questions to search for solutions.
- **Project-based learning:** This pedagogy brings life to education by integrating real-world problem-solving through the use of authentic, multidisciplinary projects, e.g., designing a low-cost water purification system for a community.
- **Cooperative learning:** This encourages intercultural interaction and cooperation by promoting collective learning processes both in the classroom and through online or virtual exchange programs among students from different countries.
- **Critical thinking:** This fosters responsibility towards ethical concerns and world citizenship by grappling with the socio-political nature of scientific concerns and compelling individuals to consider their own role as global citizens (Reimers & Chung, 2016).

3. Analysis and Discussion

Building upon the theoretical foundations of Global Citizenship Education (GCE) and its pedagogical vehicles, this part of the paper delves into a comparative analysis of how science education is practiced in the Global North and Global South. While the previous section provided a universal framework for GCE, its implementation is deeply contextual, shaped by distinct socio-economic conditions, historical legacies, and educational priorities. By examining the diverging and converging approaches of these two geopolitical contexts, this analysis aims to illuminate the unique strengths and inherent limitations of each perspective, thereby laying the groundwork for a more comprehensive and equitable model of science education for global citizenship.

3.1 The Global North Perspective: Technological Advancement and Global Competencies

The Global North's approach to science education, particularly as it relates to GCE, is largely shaped by its socio-economic context. Education systems in developed countries are frequently designed to foster innovation and preserve a competitive advantage in the globalized knowledge economy. According to this viewpoint, science education is essential for economic growth, national development, and solving global problems through technology, in addition to being a way to comprehend the natural world.

3.1.1 Emphasis on Technological Advancement

In the Global North, science curricula frequently place a heavy emphasis on technological advancement and innovation. This focus is driven by the belief that complex global issues, such as climate change, pandemics, and resource scarcity, can be effectively mitigated or solved through scientific and technological breakthroughs. As a result, educational policies and funding often prioritize fields like biotechnology, artificial intelligence, and renewable energy. The curriculum is designed to nurture future scientists, engineers, and innovators who will lead these sectors.

For example, countries in North America and Europe have heavily invested in STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) education. Classrooms are often equipped with advanced laboratories, digital learning tools, and access to cutting-edge research. Students are encouraged to engage in hands-on projects, robotics competitions, and advanced research programs that simulate real-world scientific inquiry. The goal is to move beyond rote memorization of facts and foster a deep understanding of scientific principles as applied to creating new technologies. For instance, in a climate change unit, students may not just learn about the greenhouse effect but might also be tasked with designing and testing a model for a solar-powered home or a wind turbine. This approach is exemplified by a project-based curriculum where students might utilize advanced computational models to simulate the spread of a virus, linking microbiology to computer science and public health.

The curriculum often includes detailed modules on topics such as genetic engineering, prompting students to not only understand the science behind CRISPR-Cas9 technology but also to consider its ethical and societal implications. Similarly, the study of renewable energy technology goes beyond basic principles to explore the engineering challenges and economic viability of scaling up solar, wind, and geothermal power. This focus is deeply intertwined with the pursuit of sustainability, but it frames sustainability as a problem solvable through human ingenuity and technological innovation.

3.1.2 Fostering Global Competencies

Beyond technological skills, the Global North's science education is also a vehicle for cultivating global competencies essential for a globally competitive workforce. These competencies are not tied to a specific discipline but are seen as foundational for navigating an interconnected world.

- **Critical Thinking and Data Literacy:** In a world saturated with information and misinformation, the ability to critically evaluate evidence is paramount. Science education in the Global North teaches students to distinguish between credible and unreliable sources, to interpret complex data sets, and to construct evidence-based arguments. This skill is vital for a global citizen who must analyze information on international issues, from economic trends to public health crises, and make informed judgments.
- **Collaboration and Cross-Cultural Communication:** Many science projects are designed to be collaborative, often involving team-based problem-solving. Furthermore, with the rise of virtual exchange programs, students in the Global North can work on projects with peers from different countries, such as a joint climate modeling project or a collaborative study of water quality in different regions. This fosters essential communication skills and provides a direct, hands-on experience in intercultural collaboration. Educational standards in countries like Canada and Finland explicitly incorporate these skills, emphasizing the ability to work effectively with diverse teams on global issues.
- **Ethical Reasoning:** The high-tech nature of the curriculum brings with it complex ethical dilemmas. Discussions around the ethics of artificial intelligence, the equitable distribution of genetically modified foods, and the use of personal data in scientific research are common. This training encourages students to think beyond the scientific feasibility of a solution and consider its broader societal implications, a core tenet of global citizenship. For instance, a biology class might debate the ethical considerations of gene therapy, including accessibility and the potential for societal inequality.

3.1.3 Limitations and Critiques

While the Global North's approach offers undeniable strengths, it is not without significant limitations and critiques.

A primary critique is its technocentric bias. This perspective can mistakenly present technology as a universal panacea for all global problems, often overlooking the complex social, political, and economic roots of these issues. For example, a focus on engineering more efficient solar panels might fail to address the political will, economic inequality, or cultural resistance that prevents their widespread adoption in certain regions. This can lead to a form of "solutionism," where problems are framed as technical challenges rather than systemic ones. This over-reliance on technology can sideline the importance of grassroots movements, policy reform, and social change, all of which are crucial components of global citizenship.

Furthermore, this perspective can perpetuate an unequal power dynamic and a form of neocolonialism. By assuming that Northern technologies and solutions are superior and universally applicable, it risks imposing these solutions on the Global South without due consideration for local knowledge, cultural values, or community-specific needs. A clean water technology developed in a high-tech lab, for instance, may be impractical, unaffordable, or culturally inappropriate for a rural community in the Global South that has relied on traditional, community-based methods for generations. This top-down approach can devalue indigenous knowledge systems and undermine local agency.

This model's reliance on extensive resources—well-funded labs, advanced technology, and high-speed internet—also creates a significant barrier to its widespread adoption, especially in resource-limited settings. Consequently, it can inadvertently widen the educational and economic divide between the Global North and South, reinforcing the very inequalities that GCE seeks to address. The focus on a highly competitive, individualistic model of success, often linked to economic metrics, can also clash with more collective, community-oriented values prevalent in many parts of the Global South.

3.2 The Global South Perspective: Equity, Local Knowledge, and Community Needs

The Global South presents a distinct perspective on the purpose and practice of science education, one shaped by unique socio-historical contexts, including post-colonial realities, resource constraints, and a direct engagement with issues of social inequality. Unlike the Global North's focus on technological competition and economic advancement, the Global South often views science education as a tool for social liberation, community empowerment, and the pursuit of equity and justice. This counter-narrative challenges the universalist assumptions of Western science and instead emphasizes relevance, local context, and the integration of indigenous knowledge systems.

3.2.1 The Primacy of Equity and Social Justice

For many educators and policymakers in the Global South, science education cannot be divorced from the pressing issues of poverty, inequality, and social justice. In this view, the purpose of science is not merely to generate knowledge but to actively address the systemic injustices that disproportionately affect marginalized communities. Science is seen as a means to empower individuals to critically analyze their circumstances and to demand and create a more equitable world. For instance, a biology lesson on public health in a Global South context might not only cover the science of infectious diseases but also explore the social determinants of health, such as poor sanitation, lack of access to clean water, and inadequate healthcare infrastructure. The goal is to equip students with the tools to understand why certain communities are more vulnerable and to advocate for policy changes.

This perspective positions science education as a form of conscientization, a term popularized by educator Paulo Freire, which involves developing a critical awareness of social and political contradictions. In a chemistry class, students might analyze the composition of local river water to understand the effects of industrial pollution on their community's health, linking a scientific analysis to a political and social critique. This approach transforms the classroom from a site of knowledge transmission into a space for civic engagement and advocacy. The ultimate aim is to use scientific literacy as a foundation for a more just society, where citizens are empowered to challenge existing power structures and work towards equitable access to resources and opportunities.

3.2.2 Valuing and Integrating Local Knowledge

A cornerstone of the Global South's perspective is the recognition and integration of local and indigenous knowledge systems into science education. For too long, Western science has been presented as the sole legitimate form of knowledge, leading to the marginalization and devaluing of traditional practices. This approach challenges that hegemonic view, arguing that science is a global human enterprise with diverse origins and manifestations.

Traditional ecological knowledge, for example, often holds invaluable insights into sustainable agriculture, resource management, and biodiversity. An educational approach that integrates this knowledge might involve students studying local farming techniques and comparing them with modern industrial methods to understand their respective ecological impacts. In a lesson on botany, a student might be encouraged to research the medicinal properties of local plants, drawing upon the knowledge of elders and traditional healers in their community.

This integration serves several critical functions. First, it makes science education more culturally responsive and relevant to students' lives, boosting engagement and a sense of belonging. Second, it serves as a powerful act of decolonizing the curriculum, asserting the validity and importance of non-Western ways of knowing. Third, it can lead to more effective and sustainable solutions to local problems. Traditional knowledge, refined over generations, is often well-adapted to specific local environmental conditions. By validating and incorporating this knowledge, science education not only fosters a sense of pride and cultural identity but also produces more context-appropriate solutions to local challenges, bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application.

3.2.3 Science as a Tool for Community Development

In the Global South, science education is frequently framed as a means to address immediate, tangible community needs. The curriculum is designed to be problem-oriented, empowering students to use scientific principles to solve real-world issues in their own communities. This approach stands in stark contrast to the more abstract, research-focused model often seen in the Global North.

For example, a chemistry or engineering class might be tasked with designing a low-cost, effective water purification system for a local village, using readily available materials. A biology project might focus on developing sustainable compost techniques to improve crop yields for local farmers, addressing issues of food security and poverty. In a public health context, students could run a community-wide campaign to educate residents about disease prevention, using scientific data to inform their messaging. This approach ensures that learning is directly applicable and has a positive impact on the community.

This community-focused pedagogy fosters a deep sense of civic responsibility and shows students the direct link between their scientific knowledge and their ability to improve their lives and the lives of those around them. It moves beyond theoretical discussions of global challenges and grounds the learning process in authentic, locally relevant problems. By framing science as a tool for community development, educators in the Global South cultivate a generation of citizens who are not only scientifically literate but also actively engaged in building a more just and sustainable future from the ground up.

3.3.4 Limitations and Critiques

The Southern approach to education, while rich in context and relevance, faces several significant limitations that can hinder its effectiveness. For instance, resource constraints pose challenges as many educational institutions in the Global South struggle with limited financial resources, impacting infrastructure, access to technology, and educational materials. Additionally, political constraints exist where rigid systems can limit the critical aims of Global Citizenship Education (GCE). Another limitation is limited global exposure, which narrows students' global awareness and restricts their understanding of worldwide interconnections. Lastly, there are tensions in knowledge systems, as prioritizing traditional knowledge and cultural preservation may conflict with modern scientific approaches, creating challenges in balancing curricula and teaching methods. These limitations highlight the complexities and challenges faced by educational systems in the Global South, necessitating ongoing efforts to address these issues for improved educational outcomes.

4. Bridging the Divide: Synthesis and a New Path Forward

The preceding parts of the paper have illuminated two distinct, yet complementary, approaches to integrating Global Citizenship Education (GCE) into science education. The Global North's perspective, driven by a focus on technological innovation and global competencies, provides a powerful toolkit for addressing complex challenges. Conversely, the Global South’s approach, centered on equity, local knowledge, and community development, offers a humanistic and context-aware foundation for scientific practice. Acknowledging the limitations of each in isolation, this part argues that a synthesis of these viewpoints is essential for cultivating a truly effective and inclusive form of science-based GCE. By building a "bridge" between these two perspectives, we can create a model that is both technologically advanced and socially just.

4.1 Points of Convergence

Despite their divergent starting points, the Global North and Global South share fundamental goals that can act as the bedrock for a unified approach. At their core, both perspectives seek to use science to address global challenges and promote human well-being. Both recognize the urgency of issues like climate change, public health crises, and sustainable resource management. While the North may propose a high-tech solution like carbon capture technology, and the South a community-led reforestation project, the ultimate aim is the same: to foster a more sustainable and equitable world. This shared commitment to solving real-world problems through scientific inquiry provides a powerful common ground. Moreover, both approaches value critical thinking, problem-solving, and the development of a scientifically literate citizenry. The difference lies not in the "what" but in the "how" and "why" of scientific engagement.

4.2 The 'Bridge' Model: A Hybrid Approach to Science Education

A new, comprehensive model for science education must act as a bridge, integrating the strengths of both the North and the South while mitigating their respective weaknesses. This "Bridge" model is not about a top-down imposition of a universal curriculum but about fostering a flexible, culturally responsive, and globally aware pedagogy.

This model would be defined by three core principles:

- **Contextualized Relevance:** It must anchor abstract scientific principles in local, tangible issues. For example, a lesson on epidemiology would use a local public health challenge as a case study, while also drawing on global data and research to understand the issue's broader context.
- **Techno-Cultural Integration:** It must seamlessly blend high-tech skills with a deep respect for indigenous and local knowledge. This means teaching students to use advanced computational models for climate analysis while simultaneously learning from traditional ecological practices that have sustained communities for generations.
- **Equity-Driven Action:** The model must be explicitly designed to address power dynamics and social inequities. This means science projects should not only solve problems but also challenge the systemic causes of those problems, empowering students to become agents of social change.

5. Practical Recommendations

Implementing this "Bridge" model requires a paradigm shift in how we approach science education. The following recommendations provide a detailed, actionable framework for educators, policymakers, and curriculum developers:

- **Curriculum Co-Creation:** Develop curricula through a collaborative process involving educators, scientists, community leaders, and indigenous knowledge holders from both the Global North and South. This ensures that the curriculum is both scientifically rigorous and culturally relevant.
- **Global North-South Partnerships:** Foster authentic, long-term partnerships between educational institutions in the North and South. These partnerships should go beyond superficial exchanges and involve joint research projects, shared curriculum development, and reciprocal learning opportunities.
- **Teacher Professional Development:** Invest in professional development programs that equip teachers with the skills to facilitate cross-cultural dialogue, integrate diverse knowledge systems, and address controversial socio-scientific issues in the classroom.
- **Technology for Equity:** Leverage technology to bridge resource gaps and promote equitable access to information. This could include developing open-source educational resources, creating virtual labs for under-resourced schools, and using online platforms to connect students from different parts of the world.

- **Community-Based Research Projects:** Encourage students to engage with their communities through service-learning projects that apply scientific knowledge to local challenges. This involvement can foster a sense of responsibility and agency among students, reinforcing the principles of global citizenship.
- **Equitable Assessment Practices:** Develop assessment frameworks that prioritize critical thinking, problem-solving, and collaboration over rote memorization. Assessments should reflect real-world applications of science and encourage students to engage with pressing global issues.
- **Professional Development**: Provide ongoing training for educators to equip them with the skills necessary to implement the "Bridge" model effectively. This training should focus on innovative pedagogical strategies, cultural responsiveness, and the integration of local knowledge into science education.

6. Conclusion

Science education is at a critical juncture. In an increasingly interconnected and fragile world, its purpose must extend beyond the transmission of scientific facts. It must become a powerful force for fostering global citizenship, equipping students with the knowledge, skills, and values to address the most pressing challenges of our time. This paper has argued that neither the Global North's technocentric approach nor the Global South's community-focused model is sufficient on its own. Instead, a new, integrated "Bridge" model is needed—one that combines the technological prowess of the North with the social and ecological wisdom of the South.

By embracing a pedagogy of contextualized relevance, techno-cultural integration, and equity-driven action, we can create a science education that is both scientifically rigorous and socially transformative. This will require a concerted effort from educators, policymakers, and civil society to decolonize curricula, foster genuine North-South partnerships, and empower a new generation of scientifically literate global citizens who are ready to build a more just, sustainable, and equitable world for all. The bridge between these two worlds is not just a theoretical construct; it is a practical necessity for the future of our planet.

References

Aikenhead, G. S. (2006). Science education for everyday life: Evidence-based practice. Teachers College Press.

Andreotti, V. (2014). Soft versus critical global citizenship education. In S. McCloskey (Ed.), Development education in policy and practice (pp. 21–31). Palgrave Macmillan.

Falkner, K., Vivian, R., & Falkner, N. J. G. (2020). Curriculum development and reform for computer science in primary and secondary education. In S. Sentance, E. Barendsen, & C. Schulte (Eds.), Computer science education: Perspectives on teaching and learning in school (pp. 151–170). Bloomsbury.

Holbrook, J., & Rannikmae, M. (2009). The meaning of scientific literacy. International Journal of Environmental & Science Education, 4(3), 275–288.

Le Grange, L. (2016). Decolonising the university curriculum. South African Journal of Higher Education, 30(2), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.20853/30-2-709

Oxley, L., & Morris, P. (2013). Global citizenship: A typology for distinguishing its multiple conceptions. British Journal of Educational Studies, 61(3), 301–325. https://doi.org/10.1080/00071005.2013.798393

Reimers, F., & Chung, C. K. (2016). Teaching and learning for the twenty-first century: Educational goals, policies, and curricula from six nations. Harvard Education Press.

Sadler, T. D. (2011). Socio-scientific issues in the classroom: Teaching, learning and research. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-1159-4

Wals, A. E. J. (2014). Sustainability in higher education in the context of the UN DESD: A review of learning and institutionalization processes. Journal of Cleaner Production, 62, 8–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.06.007